

Appendix B: Arizona Standard for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Grazing Administration

ARIZONA STANDARDS FOR RANGELAND HEALTH AND GUIDELINES FOR GRAZING ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT ARIZONA

The following quotations from the Federal Register, Vol. 60, No. 35, page 9956, February 22, 1995, describe the purpose of standards and guidelines and their implementation:

"The guiding principles for standards and guidelines require that State or regional standards and guidelines address the basic components of healthy rangelands. The Department believes that by implementing grazing-related actions that are consistent with the fundamentals of §4180.1 and the guiding principles of §4180.2, the long-term health of public rangelands can be ensured.

"Standards and guidelines will be implemented through terms and conditions of grazing permits, leases, and other authorizations, grazing-related portions of activity plans (including Allotment Management Plans), and through range improvement-related activities.

"The Department anticipates that in most cases the standards and guidelines themselves will not be terms and conditions of various authorizations but that the terms and conditions will reflect the standards and guidelines.

"The Department intends that assessments and corrective actions will be undertaken in priority order as determined by BLM.

"The Department will use a variety of data including monitoring records, assessments, and knowledge of the locale to assist in making the "significant

progress" determination. It is anticipated that in many cases it will take numerous grazing seasons to determine direction and magnitude of trend. However, actions will be taken to establish significant progress toward conformance as soon as sufficient data are available to make informed changes in grazing practices."

FUNDAMENTALS AND DEFINITION OF RANGELAND HEALTH

The Grazing Administration Regulations, at §4180.1 (43 Code of Federal Regulation [CFR] 4180.1), Federal Register Vol. 60, No. 35, pg. 9970, direct that the authorized officer ensures that the following conditions of rangeland health exist:

(a) Watersheds are in, or are making significant progress toward, properly functioning physical condition, including their upland, riparian-wetland, and aquatic components; soil and plant conditions support infiltration, soil moisture storage, and the release of water that are in balance with climate and landform and maintain or improve water quality, water quantity, and timing and duration of flow.

(b) Ecological processes, including the hydrologic cycle, nutrient cycle, and energy flow, are maintained, or there is significant progress toward their attainment, in order to support healthy biotic populations and communities.

(c) Water quality complies with State water quality standards and achieves, or is making significant progress toward achieving, established BLM management objectives such as meeting wildlife needs.

(d) Habitats are, or are making significant progress toward being, restored or maintained for Federal threatened and endangered species, Federal Proposed, Category 1 and 2 Federal candidate and other special status species.

These fundamentals focus on sustaining productivity of a rangeland rather than its uses. Emphasizing the physical and biological functioning of ecosystems to determine rangeland health is consistent with the definition of rangeland health as proposed by the Committee on Rangeland Classification, Board of Agriculture, National Research Council (Rangeland Health, 1994, pg. 4 and 5). This Committee defined Rangeland Health "...as the degree to which the integrity of the soil and the ecological processes of rangeland ecosystems are sustained." This committee emphasized "...the degree of integrity of the soil and ecological processes that are most important in sustaining the capacity of rangelands to satisfy values and produce commodities." The Committee also recommended that "The determination of whether a rangeland is healthy, at risk, or unhealthy should be based on the evaluation of three criteria: degree of soil stability and watershed function, integrity of nutrient cycles and energy flow, and presence of functioning mechanisms" (Rangeland Health, 1994, pg. 97-98).

Standards describe conditions necessary to encourage proper functioning of ecological processes on specific ecological sites. An ecological site is the logical and practical

ecosystem unit upon which to base an interpretation of rangeland health. Ecological site is defined as:

"... a kind of land with specific physical characteristics which differs from other kinds of land in its ability to produce distinctive kinds and amounts of vegetation and in its response to management" (Journal of Range Management, 48:279, 1995). Ecological sites result from the interaction of climate, soils, and landform (slope, topographic position). The importance of this concept is that the "health" of different kinds of rangeland must be judged by standards specific to the potential of the ecological site. Acceptable erosion rates, water quality, productivity of plants and animals, and other features are different on each ecological site.

Since there is wide variation of ecological sites in Arizona, standards and guidelines covering these sites must be general. To make standards and guidelines too specific would reduce the ability of BLM and interested publics to select specific objectives, monitoring strategies, and grazing permit terms and conditions appropriate to specific land forms.

Ecological sites have the potential to support several different plant communities. Existing communities are the result of the combination of historical and recent uses and natural events. Management actions may be used to modify plant communities on a site. The desired plant community for a site is defined as follows: "Of the several plant communities that may occupy a site, the one that has been identified through a management plan to best meet the plan's objectives for the site. It must protect the site as a minimum." (Journal of Range Management, 48:279, 1995.)

Fundamentals (a) and (b) define physical and biological components of rangeland health and are consistent with the definition of rangeland health as defined by the Committee on Rangeland Classification, Board on Agriculture, National Research Council, as discussed in the paragraph above. These fundamentals provide the basis for sustainable rangelands. Fundamentals (c) and (d) emphasize compliance with existing laws and regulation and, therefore, define social and political components of rangeland health. Compliance with Fundamentals (c) and (d) is accomplished by managing to attain a specific plant community and associated wildlife species present on ecological sites. These desired plant communities are determined in the BLM planning process, or, where the desired plant community is not identified, a community may be selected that will meet the conditions of Fundamentals (a) and (b) and also adhere to laws and regulations. Arizona Standard 3 is written to comply with Fundamentals (c) and (d) and provide a logical combination of Standards and Guidelines for planning and management purposes.

STANDARD AND GUIDELINE DEFINITIONS

Standards are goals for the desired condition of the biological and physical components and characteristics of rangelands. Standards:

- (1) are measurable and attainable; and
- (2) comply with various Federal and State statutes, policies, and directives applicable to BLM Rangelands.

Guidelines are management approaches, methods, and practices that are intended to achieve a standard. Guidelines:

- (1) typically identify and prescribe methods of influencing or controlling specific public land uses;
- (2) are developed and applied consistent with the desired condition and within site capability; and
- (3) may be adjusted over time.

IMPLEMENTING STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

The authorized officer will review existing permitted livestock use, allotment management plans, or other activity plans which identify terms and conditions for management on public land. Existing management practices, and levels of use on grazing allotments will be reviewed and evaluated on a priority basis to determine if they meet, or are making significant progress toward meeting, the standards and are in conformance with the guidelines. The review will be interdisciplinary and conducted under existing rules which provide for cooperation, coordination, and consultation with affected individuals, federal, state, and local agencies, tribal governments, private landowners, and interested publics.

This review will use a variety of data, including monitoring records, assessments, and knowledge of the locale to assist in making the significant progress determination. Significance will be determined on a case by case basis, considering site potential, site condition, weather and financial commitment. It is anticipated there will be cases where numerous years will be needed to determine direction and magnitude of trend.

Upon completion of review, the authorized officer shall take appropriate action as soon as practicable but no later than the start of the next grazing year upon determining that the existing grazing management practices or level of use on public land are significant factors contributing to failure to achieve the standards and conform with the guidelines that are made effective under 43 CFR 4180.2. Appropriate action means implementing actions that will result in significant progress toward fulfillment of the standards and significant progress toward conformance with guidelines.

Livestock grazing will continue where significant progress toward meeting standards is being made. Additional activities and practices would not be needed on such allotments. Where new activities or practices are required to assure significant progress toward meeting standards, livestock grazing use can continue contingent upon determinations from monitoring data that the implemented actions are effective in making significant progress toward meeting the standards. In some cases, additional action may be needed as determined by monitoring data over time.

New plans will incorporate an interdisciplinary team approach (Arizona BLM Interdisciplinary Resource Management Handbook, April 1995). The terms and conditions for permitted grazing in these areas will be developed to comply with the goals and objectives of these plans which will be consistent with the standards and guidelines.

ARIZONA STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

Arizona Standards and Guidelines (S&G) for grazing administration have been developed through a collaborative process involving the Bureau of Land Management State S&G Team and the Arizona Resource Advisory Council. Together, through meetings, conference calls, correspondence, and Open Houses with the public, the BLM State Team and RAC prepared Standards and Guidelines to address the minimum requirements outlined in the grazing regulations. The Standards and Guidelines, criteria for meeting Standards, and indicators are an integrated document that conforms to the fundamentals of rangeland health and the requirements of the regulations when taken as a whole.

Upland sites, riparian-wetland areas, and desired resource conditions are each addressed by a standard and associated guidelines.

Standard 1: Upland Sites

Upland soils exhibit infiltration, permeability, and erosion rates that are appropriate to soil type, climate and landform (ecological site).

Criteria for meeting Standard 1:

Soil conditions support proper functioning of hydrologic, energy, and nutrient cycles. Many factors interact to maintain stable soils and healthy soil conditions, including appropriate amounts of vegetative cover, litter, and soil porosity and organic matter. Under proper functioning conditions, rates of soil loss and infiltration are consistent with the potential of the site.

Ground cover in the form of plants, litter or rock is present in pattern, kind, and amount sufficient to prevent accelerated erosion for the ecological site; or ground cover is increasing as determined by monitoring over an established period of time.

Signs of accelerated erosion are minimal or diminishing for the ecological site as determined by monitoring over an established period of time.

As indicated by such factors as:

- Ground Cover
 - litter
 - live vegetation, amount and type (e.g., grass, shrubs, trees, etc.)
 - rock
- Signs of erosion
 - flow pattern
 - gullies
 - rills

- plant pedestaling

Exceptions and exemptions (where applicable):

- none

Guidelines:

1-1. Management activities will maintain or promote ground cover that will provide for infiltration, permeability, soil moisture storage, and soil stability appropriate for the ecological sites within management units. The ground cover should maintain soil organisms and plants and animals to support the hydrologic and nutrient cycles, and energy flow. Ground cover and signs of erosion are surrogate measures for hydrologic and nutrient cycles and energy flow.

1-2. When grazing practices alone are not likely to restore areas of low infiltration or permeability, land management treatments may be designed and implemented to attain improvement.

Standard 2: Riparian-Wetland Sites

Riparian-wetland areas are in properly functioning condition.

Criteria for meeting Standard 2:

Stream channel morphology and functions are appropriate for proper functioning condition for existing climate, landform, and channel reach characteristics. Riparian-wetland areas are functioning properly when adequate vegetation, land form, or large woody debris is present to dissipate stream energy associated with high water flows.

Riparian-wetland functioning condition assessments are based on examination of hydrologic, vegetative, soil and erosion-deposition factors. BLM has developed a standard checklist to address these factors and make functional assessments. Riparian-wetland areas are functioning properly as indicated by the results of the application of the appropriate checklist.

The checklist for riparian areas is in Technical Reference 1737-9 "Process for Assessing Proper Functioning Condition." The checklist for wetlands is in Technical Reference 1737-11 "Process for Assessing Proper Functioning Condition for Lentic Riparian-Wetland Areas." These checklists are reprinted on the pages following the Guidelines for Standard 3.

As indicated by such factors as:

- Gradient
- Width/depth ratio

- Channel roughness and sinuosity of stream channel
- Bank stabilization
- Reduced erosion
- Captured sediment
- Ground-water recharge
- Dissipation of energy by vegetation

Exceptions and exemptions (where applicable):

- Dirt tanks, wells, and other water facilities constructed or placed at a location for the purpose of providing water for livestock and/or wildlife and which have not been determined through local planning efforts to provide for riparian or wetland habitat are exempt.
- Water impoundments permitted for construction, mining, or other similar activities are exempt.

Guidelines:

2-1. Management practices maintain or promote sufficient vegetation to maintain, improve or restore riparian-wetland functions of energy dissipation, sediment capture, groundwater recharge and stream bank stability, thus promoting stream channel morphology (e.g., gradient, width/depth ratio, channel roughness and sinuosity) and functions appropriate to climate and landform.

2-2. New facilities are located away from riparian-wetland areas if they conflict with achieving or maintaining riparian-wetland function. Existing facilities are used in a way that does not conflict with riparian-wetland functions or are relocated or modified when incompatible with riparian-wetland functions.

2-3. The development of springs and seeps or other projects affecting water and associated resources shall be designed to protect ecological functions and processes.

Standard 3: Desired Resource Conditions

Productive and diverse upland and riparian-wetland plant communities of native species exist and are maintained.

Criteria for meeting Standard 3:

Upland and riparian-wetland plant communities meet desired plant community objectives. Plant community objectives are determined with consideration for all multiple uses. Objectives also address native species, and the requirements of the Taylor Grazing Act, Federal Land Policy and Management Act, Endangered Species Act, Clean Water Act, and appropriate laws, regulations, and policies.

Desired plant community objectives will be developed to assure that soil conditions and ecosystem function described in Standards 1 and 2 are met. They detail a site-specific plant community, which when obtained, will assure rangeland health, State water quality standards, and habitat for endangered, threatened, and sensitive species. Thus, desired plant community objectives will be used as an indicator of ecosystem function and rangeland health.

As indicated by such factors as:

- Composition
- Structure
- Distribution

Exceptions and exemptions (where applicable):

- Ecological sites or stream reaches on which a change in existing vegetation is physically, biologically, or economically impractical.

Guidelines:

3-1. The use and perpetuation of native species will be emphasized. However, when restoring or rehabilitating disturbed or degraded rangelands, non-intrusive, non-native plant species are appropriate for use where native species (a) are not available, (b) are not economically feasible, (c) cannot achieve ecological objectives as well as non-native species, and/or (d) cannot compete with already established non-native species.

3-2. Conservation of Federal threatened or endangered, proposed, candidate, and other special status species is promoted by the maintenance or restoration of their habitats.

3-3. Management practices maintain, restore, or enhance water quality in conformance with State or Federal standards.

3-4. Intensity, season and frequency of use, and distribution of grazing use should provide for growth and reproduction of those plant species needed to reach desired plant community objectives.

3-5. Grazing on designated ephemeral (annual and perennial) rangeland may be authorized if the following conditions are met:

- ephemeral vegetation is present in draws, washes, and under shrubs and has grown to useable levels at the time grazing begins;
- sufficient surface and subsurface soil moisture exists for continued plant growth;
- serviceable waters are capable of providing for proper grazing distribution;

- sufficient annual vegetation will remain on site to satisfy other resource concerns, (i.e., watershed, wildlife, wild horses and burros); and
- monitoring is conducted during grazing to determine if objectives are being met.

3-6. Management practices will target those populations of noxious weeds which can be controlled or eliminated by approved methods.

3-7. Management practices to achieve desired plant communities will consider protection and conservation of known cultural resources, including historical sites, and prehistoric sites and plants of significance to Native American peoples.